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Abstract

Bullying is considered to be omnipresent in business firms and prevalent in entrepreneurial firms in information systems and in departments of information systems in industry. Entrepreneurialism and narcissism of personalities in the information systems profession may be perceived as especially predisposed to bullying. The authors of this paper evaluate the knowledge of bullying by students in a leading school of computer science and information systems and learn that the students are essentially knowledgeable of bullying as a concern in industry. They learn that the knowledge of the students implies the importance of requisite sensitivity and professional standards in the profession and in the school. The paper may benefit educators in illuminating the issue of bullying for information systems students desiring to be in the profession.
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1. BACKGROUND

Bullying is a critical issue in the country (Schoen, & Schoen, 2010). Bullying is defined as “a form of aggression in which an individual, or groups of individuals, physically or psychologically harasses another individual over an extended period” (Hazler, Hoover, & Oliver, 1992). In a firm or school, bullying is differentiated in a goal to cause continuing distress or harm in a relationship in which a perceived imbalance of power of an individual is an ingredient (Rigby, 2004). Bullying is an inappropriate method of increasing organizational or social stature (Parker-Pope, 2011, & Swoboda, 2014). Extreme impacts of bullying in a firm (Cave, 2015) and in a school (Shelton, 2010) are cited frequently in literature. Fundamental impacts of bullying in environments hostile to individuals constrain the morale and the performance of professionals in a firm and of students in a school of a university. Bullying impacts negative physical problems (Martin, & LaVan, 2010) and psychological problems, such as psychosomatic symptoms (Landstedt, & Persson, 2014). Bullying impacts self-efficacy (McDonald, Brown, & Smith, 2015) and self-esteem (Fryling, Cotler, Rivituso, Mathews, & Pratico, 2014). Cyberbullying digital harassment of professionals and students is increasing with instant messaging and social networking technologies (Kowalski, & Limber, 2007, & Dickey / Riga, 2014), and the harassment is influencing other psychosomatic symptoms. The impacts of a bullying culture in a firm or in a school are clear in the costs (Cortina, 2008, & Field, 2009) of lower innovation and productivity of professionals and students and of lower reputation of the industry or of the university. Firms and schools have a higher potential for innovation with heterogeneous professionals and students on
project teams not impacted by the issue of bullying (Nelson, 2014).

Estimates (Namie, 2014) indicate 27% of professionals experience physical, psychological or verbal bullying in industry. Estimates (Computer Weekly, 2008) further indicate 63% of information systems professionals experience bullying in industry, citing changing goals of managers, constant criticism of managers on minor problems, doing marginalized projects, excessive minutia monitoring, explanations of managers not clear, mean spiritedness of professionals, lots of projects, or not enough projects. In the literature (Namie, 2014), managers are 55% of bullying perpetrators. The results of surveys (Namie, 2014) indicate 69% of the perpetrators are male professionals, and 57% of their victims are female professionals, on project teams. Surveys (Namie, 2014) indicate a distressing 71% of the cultures of firms condone bullying, if not discrimination and sexual harassment (Kristof, 2014), as a feature in the industry (Cave, 2014b), an issue that may contribute to diverse perceptions on meritocratic performance by students, as they pursue information systems as a future profession.

2. INTRODUCTION TO STUDY

In this paper, the authors evaluate the knowledge of bullying in the perceptions of students in Pace University. Bullying is evidently a feature of the field in departments of information systems in industry and in post-secondary schools (Education Portal, 2010). Entrepreneurialism and narcissism of people in the industry (Fontane, 2014), such as Steve Jobs, may cause people to believe the profession is predisposed to bullying (Summers, 2015). Extroverted personalities may be prone to bullying and insensitivity to introverted personalities in the profession (Cave, 2014a). Mobility in the information systems profession may be premised on politics predisposed to bullying (Cave, 2014c). Perceptions of students may indicate bullying may be a norm (Rigby, 1999) and not an issue. Perceptions of students may indicate bullying of ethnic, female gender, homophobic, racial or religious professionals in industry, or in school settings, may be a norm and not an overt or subtle issue in the profession. In short, the perceptions of students in a school of information systems of a university may indicate that bullying prevalence and sexism in technology are not enough of a problem that they might be hesitant about advancing in this lucrative profession.

However, bullying prevalence against female professionals is noted to be an issue in the information systems profession. Literature indicates initial instances of this particular problem in post-secondary school settings (Perez-Pena, 2014, Steinhauer, 2014, & Wilkinson, 2015). Literature further shows the issue prominently in information systems technology in the Silicon Valley (Streitfeld, 2015a, Streitfeld, 2015b, Suddath, 2015, & The Economist, 2015). Moreover, bullying and harassment prevalence of gay and lesbian professionals is noted similarly in technology (Thibodeau, 2015). Nevertheless, bullying prevalence, including sexual harassment as an inequality problem (Manjoo, 2015, & Wingfield, 2014), may be placated by proactive professionals for parity rights in technology (Ceci, Ginther, Kahn, & Williams, 2015). The perceptions of female and male students indicate that such professionals may be interim models if not motivators for them to pursue and persevere in the profession. The potential of a revolution to proscribe bullying prevalence and sexism (Stone, 2015) may motivate students in schools of information systems in a university. The profession may benefit having increased numbers of new non-representative at risk students in technology (DuBow, 2014).

Therefore, this paper posits a research study to evaluate the knowledge of bullying of the students of Pace University, as to their perceptions of the problem in the industry and in the university. Bullying may be inevitably perceived by students to be merely a behavioral norm in the information systems profession and in society (Wolf, 2013). Might the students not be knowledgeable of bullying incidents in the profession and in the university? Might the students not be knowledgeable of bullying and sexism as a problem in the profession? Might the students not be knowledgeable of institutional mandates of pre-emption on the problem in the profession and in the university (Galanes, 2014)? Might not enough of the students, or friends of the students, be bullying victims? Might not enough of the female, gay and lesbian students among them be bullying and sexism victims? The findings of this paper may be elucidating for educators in information systems, given the literature on bullying prevalence and sexism in the profession and in post-secondary schools (Belkin, 2015, Rubenfeld, 2014, & Steinhauer, & Perez-Pena, 2014). The perceptions of the information systems students on bullying may be
illuminating in favoring, or not favoring, increased inclusion of the issue in the curricula of schools of computer science and information systems of a university.

3. FOCUS OF STUDY

The focus of the authors is to evaluate the perceptions of information systems students on bullying prevalence in the information systems profession and in the university. The authors hope to learn if bullying is perceived as a problem realistic and serious by the students. The importance or non-importance of the problem, individually perceived by female students and by male students, may highlight the moral nature of the profession and the need of new professional standards in schools of computer science and information systems (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2012). The perceptions on bullying and sexism may further highlight the need of proactive processes and resolution sources for all professionals and for all students, not nonchalant responses, in the event of victimizing. This paper may be an eventual foundation for helping information systems students navigate the problem of bullying in the profession and in the university.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology of this paper was focused on an anonymous e-mailed Likert-like perception survey of 226 graduate and undergraduate students, in Pace University, in January – March 2015. The instruments of survey included 47 items on knowledge of bullying incidents and perceptions of issues in the information systems profession, school and university of 182 existing graduate and undergraduate students, mostly employed fully or partly in information systems positions; and the instruments included 24 items on knowledge of bullying and perceptions of issues in the school and university of 44 new undergraduate students. The instruments indicated in the preface to the survey that the responses of the students were to be protected as private by the authors.

The methodology of the process of the surveying was in proven reliability (Frankfort-Nachmias, & Leon-Guerrero, 2015) similar to the methodology of the surveying of the authors on cyberbullying in previous years at the university (Molluzzo, & Lawler 2011, Molluzzo, Lawler, & Manneh, 2012, & Molluzzo, & Lawler, 2013).

The item questions on the instruments of survey were approved for integrity in design, privacy and security, and sensitivity by the Dean for Students, the Institutional Review Board (IRB) and the Provost of the university, prior to the student surveying in 2015.

The responses from the survey were evaluated by the authors using Qualtrics and SPSS (McClave, Sincich, & Mendenhall, 2007, & Wagner III, 2015) in April – May 2015.

The instrument of survey is furnished in the Appendix.

5. ANALYSIS OF DATA AND IMPLICATIONS OF STUDY

Demographic Data
A total of 142 survey responses were submitted, 68% male and 32% female. Not every submission was completed. Table 1 (Note: All tables are in the Appendix) shows the ethnicity of the respondents, which reflects the ethnicity of the school. Of those reporting, 27% identified themselves as international students. The majority of respondents, 74% (n=90), were graduate students. Of the 32 undergraduate respondents 66% (n=21) were upper-level (Junior/Senior) students.

The survey also asked about employment experience in the information systems industry. 55% of the respondents (out of 113) were previously or currently full-time employed in an information systems position. 46% of respondents (out of 113) were previously or currently part-time employed in an information systems position.

Bullying: The Information Systems Profession
Of those respondents who reported part- or full-time information systems employment 48% (out of 77) reported that they believed that bullying is an issue in the information systems industry. This implies that the students of this study are fundamentally knowledgeable of bullying as a culture in the industry. Respondents indicated they learn of this bullying as a feature of the profession from other professionals. 43% (out of 77) indicated that they have heard of bullying in the information systems industry from other industry professionals, while 38% (out of 77) indicated that they have read about information systems industry bullying in practitioner publications. As far as personal experience of bullying, 32% (out of 77) reported encountering
bullying in the information systems positions they have held in industry.

Importantly, as shown in Table 2, a high number of the information systems students indicate bullying in the information systems profession as an equivalent issue in large-sized and small-sized firms. The issue is not merely a problem of the profession but of society (Thompson, 2015). The negative perceptions of the issue by the students may encourage instructors in information systems in guiding them through this problem.

**Bullying: Sexism and Minorities**

The findings further imply that the students in Pace University are especially knowledgeable of bullying and sexism as features of the information systems profession. 37 out of the 77 students (48.1%) who had part-time or full-time employment experience believed that bullying is an issue in the information systems profession, while 42 of 69 respondents (60.9%) believe that females are more likely to be bullied than any other group. Table 3 shows that students indicate that female professionals, gay and lesbian professionals, and professionals with disabilities are more predisposed than other professionals to be bullying and sexism victims. The bullying and the sexism in technology may be overt or subtle but is undesirable even if unreported by victims (Chemaly, 2014). The issue is a problem in a profession eager for more female, gay and lesbian students and those with disabilities (Rosenberg, 2014, & Williams, 2014). The negative perceptions of the prevalence of this issue by these students may entice organizations and schools of information systems in helping in navigating them through this problem.

The survey inquired if the respondents felt that bullying in the information systems industry is enough of a problem that it should be covered in a required ethics course. 70% of the respondents indicated Yes or Maybe. This result implies that bullying and sexism in the information systems profession might be mitigated by best models of practices of productivity and professionalism in the industry. The importance of meritocracy in professional standards on innovation project teams in technology (Whitney, & Ames, 2014), and initially on project teams in schools of information systems, is indicated notably by the female students. Table 4 shows that female students while at work encountered bullying more than their male counterparts. The particular perceptions of these students may entice instructors in schools of information systems in imparting more requisite responsibilities and standards in their teaching of technology.

**Bullying: The University**

This study implies the importance of providing inclusion on the problem and the potential resolution of bullying in the profession in the pedagogy of schools of information systems. Though most of the students indicate that they were not knowledgeable of incidents of bullying (only 3 of 119 respondents) in Pace University, and most of them indicate in the survey that they were not victims (6 of 114 claim to be victims), as discussed previously most of them were knowledgeable of incidents of bullying prevalence and sexism in the information systems profession. The perceptions of these students may influence instructors in schools of information systems in imparting more interpersonal skills, not merely skills in technology, in their teaching, so that students might be more prepared and sensitive to the bullying and to the sexism when they graduate into organizations.

There are two university courses taken by all undergraduate students. UNIV 101 is a required course that introduces students to life in the university and to project management and time management skills and other such soft skills. CIS 101 is a required University core course in computing. The results in Table 5 imply that bullying might be mitigated by more prevention processes in a university by discussing the problem in appropriate courses. The importance of intervention and preventive processes, and resolution sources (Clifford, 2012), is indicated by most of the students (see row three of Table 5), notably by the female students – 25 of 34 female students (73.5%) responded Yes.

Of those students (12) who were aware of bullying incidents at the university, 75% responded that the professor was not responsive enough to the situation. In addition, 67% of these same students indicated that the administration of the school was not responsive to the problem of bullying. The perceptions of these students on bullying preventive processes may instigate more proactive procedures of non-tolerance of bullying in schools of information systems and in universities.
6. LIMITATIONS OF STUDY AND OPPORTUNITIES IN RESEARCH

This study on the bullying perceptions by students in one school of one urban university may not be generalized without caution. The perceptions of bullying in the industry by existing students may be enhanced in the inclusion of the perceptions of more graduated students in the profession. The perceptions of bullying and sexism in the information systems profession in contrast to non-information systems professions may be evaluated further in a future study. However, this study is helpful in learning the perceptions of information systems students, as to the realities of bullying initially in post-secondary schools and then in the profession, so that instructors in the schools might prepare an improved navigational path for them. The field of bullying in the information systems profession and in other professions furnishes increasing opportunities for researchers (Lester, 2009).

7. CONCLUSION

Bullying is a concern in the information systems profession. The findings on the perceptions of bullying by the information systems students denote knowledge of bullying as a problem in this profession. The perceptions of most of the students that female professionals, gay and lesbian professionals and professionals with disabilities are more predisposed than other professionals to be bullying victims are denoted in this study. Female students are denoted especially knowledgeable of the problems of bullying and sexism. Though most of the perceptions of the students were not of rampant bullying, and most of the students were not bullying or sexism victims, the perceptions of the students are of a prevalence realistic and serious to them. Schools of information systems might navigate students through the problems of bullying and sexism, so that information systems students are more prepared for organizational realities. Those in the schools might provide projects on professional responsibilities and standards of successful technologists on teams, so that the students are more prepared for problems and solutions. Schools might further provide more interpersonal sensitivity skills to these students, so that they will not be geeks or pure technologists. This study suggested that universities might be more supportive of students, especially if these students are victimized by bullying or sexism. In conclusion, the perceptions of the students in this study provide a snapshot of bullying realities and interim solutions that is timely.
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Appendix

Figure 1: Instrument(s) of Survey: Note that the numbering of the survey questions is that imposed by the survey software. Also the survey logic is highlighted.

Q1 What is your age?

Q3 What is your gender?
   - Male
   - Female

Q4 What is your ethnicity?
   - African American
   - Asian American
   - Hispanic
   - Muslim
   - White
   - Other ____________________

Q5 Are you an international student?
   - Yes
   - No

Q6 Are you a undergraduate or graduate student?
   - Undergraduate
   - Graduate

Answer If Are you a undergraduate or graduate student? Undergraduate Is Selected

Q8 What is your current level?
   - Freshman
   - Sophomore
   - Junior
   - Senior

Q9 Bullying is any behavior communicating hostile or aggressive actions in any media or by any method intending to inflict harm or discomfort on others in any setting of society.
Q10 Are you aware of bullying in the Seidenberg School...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>by a professor?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by another student?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by another student in a club?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by a student on a project team?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by a student on a competing project team?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by a student in a social setting at the university?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q46 Was the person doing the bullying a male or a female?
- Male
- Female

Q28 Was the professor responsive enough to the situation?
- Yes
- No

Q27 Is the administration of the Seidenberg School sensitive to the problem of bullying?
- Yes
- No

Q13 Were you ever a victim of bullying at the Seidenberg School?
- Yes
- No

Q14 How often were you bullied over the last two years?
- Never
- Rarely
- Sometimes
- Often
Q11 To which kind of bullying were you subjected?
- Physical
- Psychological
- Verbal

Q15 Are you aware of bullying on the Internet (cyberbullying)?
- Yes
- No

Q16 Were you ever a victim of bullying on the Internet?
- Yes
- No

Q17 How often were you bullied on the Internet during the last two years?
- Never
- Rarely
- Sometimes
- Often

Q18 To which kind of bullying were you subjected?
- Psychological
- Sexting
- Verbal

Q19 What should the punishment be for a student bully?
- No penalty by the university
- Warning sent to the student by the university
- Student should be required to attend a sensitivity workshop sponsored by the University
- Student is suspended by the University
- Student should be expelled from the University

Q20 Do you feel that bullying is enough of a problem at the university that it should be discussed in UNIV 101?
- Yes
- No
### Answer

If Are you an undergraduate or graduate student? Undergraduate Is Selected

Q41 Do you feel that bullying is enough of a problem at the university that it should be discussed in CIS 101?
- Yes
- No

Q31 Do you feel that Seidenberg professors should cover more information systems professional standards in their courses?
- Yes
- No

Q23 Have you ever been employed in an information systems fulltime job?
- Yes
- No

Q22 Have you ever been employed in an information systems part-time job or internship?
- Yes
- No

NOTE: The following questions are displayed to the participant only if either Q23 or Q24 is answered Yes.

Q32 Do you believe that bullying is an issue in the information technology industry?
- Yes
- No

Q33 Do you believe that entrepreneurial technology types (Steve Jobs, Bill Gates for example) are deliberate or inadvertent perpetrators of bullying?
- Yes
- No

Q34 Have you heard of bullying in the information technology industry from other professionals in the industry during the last three years?
- Yes
- No

Q35 Have you read of bullying in the information technology industry in any practitioner publications during the last three years?
- Yes
- No
Q36 Have you encountered bullying in the position(s) you have held in the information technology industry?
- Yes
- No

**Answer** If Have you encountered bullying in the position(s) you have held in the information technology industry? Yes Is Selected

Q37 Was the bullying you encountered by any of the following? Check all that apply.
- A manager
- A peer
- A subordinate
- An external consultant

**Answer** If Have you encountered bullying in the position(s) you have held in the information technology industry? Yes Is Selected

Q38 How often did the bullying occur?
- Never
- Rarely
- Sometimes
- Often

Q39 Do you feel that bullying would be less/more likely in the following types of industries?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Less likely</th>
<th>The same</th>
<th>More likely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large technology firms (IBM, Oracle, etc.)</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-sized technology firms</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small technology firms</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start-up (entrepreneurial) technology firms</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q40 Do you feel that any of the following are more or less likely to be victims of bullying?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Less likely</th>
<th>Same as any other group</th>
<th>More likely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-Americans</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian-Americans</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanics</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslims</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gays or Lesbians</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled persons</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q42 Do you feel that bullying is enough of a problem in the information technology industry that it should be covered in a required ethics course in the Seidenberg School?

☑ Yes
☑ Maybe
☑ No

Q43 Do you feel that bullying is enough of a problem in the information technology industry that graduating students be required to attend a presentation by an external information technology professional?

☑ Yes
☑ Maybe
☑ No

Q47 Thank you for completing the survey.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian American</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

Do you feel that bullying would be less/more likely in the following types of industries?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Less likely</th>
<th>The same</th>
<th>More likely</th>
<th>Total Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large technology firms (IBM, Oracle, etc.)</td>
<td>29 (41.4%)</td>
<td>22 (31.4%)</td>
<td>19 (27.1%)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-sized technology firms</td>
<td>15 (21.4%)</td>
<td>49 (70%)</td>
<td>6 (8.6%)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small technology firms</td>
<td>21 (30%)</td>
<td>26 (37.1%)</td>
<td>23 (33.9%)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start-up (entrepreneurial) technology firms</td>
<td>27 (38.6%)</td>
<td>24 (34.3%)</td>
<td>19 (27.1%)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

Do you feel that any of the following are more or less likely to be victims of bullying?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Less likely</th>
<th>Same as any other group</th>
<th>More likely</th>
<th>Total Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>5 (7.2%)</td>
<td>22 (31.9%)</td>
<td>42 (60.9%)</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-Americans</td>
<td>6 (8.8%)</td>
<td>35 (51.5%)</td>
<td>27 (39.7%)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian-Americans</td>
<td>6 (8.8%)</td>
<td>36 (52.9%)</td>
<td>26 (38.2%)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanics</td>
<td>4 (5.9%)</td>
<td>39 (58.2%)</td>
<td>24 (35.8%)</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslims</td>
<td>6 (8.7%)</td>
<td>31 (44.9%)</td>
<td>32 (46.4%)</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gays or Lesbians</td>
<td>4 (5.9%)</td>
<td>24 (35.3%)</td>
<td>40 (58.8%)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled persons</td>
<td>15 (22.1%)</td>
<td>27 (39.7%)</td>
<td>26 (38.2%)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Was the bullying you encountered by any of the following?</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A manager</td>
<td>14 (66.7%)</td>
<td>7 (33.3%)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A peer</td>
<td>7 (63.6%)</td>
<td>4 (36.4%)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A subordinate</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (100.0%)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An external consultant</td>
<td>1 (25.0%)</td>
<td>3 (75.0%)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bullying is enough of a problem that it should be</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discussed in UNIV 101</td>
<td>10 (34%)</td>
<td>19 (66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussed in CIS 101</td>
<td>4 (14%)</td>
<td>25 (86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered in IT courses as part of professional standards</td>
<td>71 (62%)</td>
<td>43 (38%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>